Using the Coriell Personalized Medicine Collaborative Data to Conduct a Genome-Wide Association Study of Sleep Duration
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Sleep is critical to health and functionality, and several studies have investigated the inherited component of insomnia and other sleep disorders using genome-wide association studies (GWAS). However, genome-wide studies focused on sleep duration are less common. Here, we used data from participants in the Coriell Personalized Medicine Collaborative (CPMC) (n = 4,401) to examine putative associations between self-reported sleep duration, demographic and lifestyle variables, and genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data to better understand genetic contributions to variation in sleep duration. We employed stepwise ordered logistic regression to select our model and retained the following predictive variables: age, gender, weight, physical activity, physical activity at work, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ethnicity, and ancestry (as measured by principal components analysis) in our association testing. Several of our strongest candidate genes were previously identified in GWAS related to sleep duration (TSHZ2, ABCC9, FBXO15) and narcolepsy (NFATC2, SALL4). In addition, we have identified novel candidate genes for involvement in sleep duration including SORCS1 and ELOVL2. Our results demonstrate that the self-reported data collected through the CPMC are robust, and our genome-wide association analysis has identified novel candidate genes involved in sleep duration. More generally, this study contributes to a better understanding of the complexity of human sleep.
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INTRODUCTION

Sleep is a complex and critical biological process that is impacted by both genetic and non-genetic factors in humans. Inadequate sleep can lead to several health issues such as impaired immune function [Aldabal and Bahammam, 2011], increased risk for type II diabetes and obesity [Knutson et al., 2007], and cognitive impairment [Van Dongen et al., 2003; Durmer and Dinges, 2005]. Furthermore, sleep deprivation is associated with common psychiatric conditions such as anxiety and depression [van Mill et al., 2010]. While it is unclear to what extent sleep deprivation may be contributing to these conditions as opposed to resulting from them [van Mill et al., 2010], one study of military personnel has shown that individuals reporting symptoms of pre-deployment insomnia or short sleep (<6 hr of sleep a night) are more likely to suffer from new-onset post-deployment post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) Gehrman.
et al., 2013]. Thus, lifestyle changes and medical interventions that improve sleep quantity may lead to improved physical and emotional health.

Here, we have interrogated self-reported sleep data, demographic and lifestyle data, as well as genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data collected through the Coriell Personalized Medicine Collaborative (CPMC) to identify genetic variants that contribute to variation in sleep duration. The CPMC is a prospective study designed to evaluate the utility of genomics in clinical decision-making and health management [Keller et al., 2010]. Participants self-report information related to family history, demographics, and lifestyle. They then receive personalized reports that incorporate their genetic and non-genetic risk factors [Stack et al., 2011]. We believe that a better understanding of both genetic and non-genetic factors involved in sleep duration will improve our ability to identify individuals that will most benefit from lifestyle changes and/or medical management to improve sleep quantity.

To date, several studies have identified non-genetic and genetic risk factors for inadequate sleep duration and insomnia. One of the more recent studies included more than 100,000 individuals and identified age, ethnicity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, education, socio-economic status, marital status, weight, and activity level among other non-genetic variables as associated with sleep duration [Krueger and Friedman, 2009]. Several candidate genes for involvement in sleep duration, a sub-set of which are involved in related biological mechanisms and have supporting functional data [Allebrandt et al., 2013; Byrne et al., 2013], have also been identified in previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) [Gottlieb et al., 2007, 2014; Ollila et al., 2014]; however, due to limitations in sample size, many of the reported candidate variants have neither reached genome-wide significance nor been replicated in independent analyses. Results from our current analyses lend further support to several previously identified candidate genes involved in sleep duration: ABCC9 [Allebrandt et al., 2013; Ollila et al., 2014], TSHZ2 [Gottlieb et al., 2007], and FBXO15 [Byrne et al., 2013]. Moreover, we have identified several novel sleep duration candidate genes, including SORCS1 and ELOVL2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

The CPMC is a prospective study comprised of several cohorts included in the current study [Keller et al., 2010; Stack et al., 2011]: a CPMC community cohort recruited from the general population \(n = 2,686\), a cancer (breast and prostate) cohort recruited through oncologists at Fox Chase Cancer Center \(n = 74\), a chronic disease (congestive heart failure and hypertension) cohort recruited through primary care physicians or cardiologists at Ohio State University Medical Center \(n = 191\), a community cohort recruited through Ohio State University \(n = 188\), and an Air Force Medical Service cohort recruited through the United States Air Force \(n = 1,262\). All participants are adults (at least 18 years old) that have given written informed consent to enroll in the study. No participants were excluded based on comorbidities including any health conditions related to heart disease, stroke, or sleep apnea. In total, information from 4,401 participants was included in the current study. The Coriell Institute Institutional Review Board (IRB) has reviewed and approved protocols for each of the abovementioned cohorts. In addition, the Institutional Review Boards of Fox Chase Cancer Center, Virtua Health System, Ohio State University Medical Center, and the United States Air Force have approved their respective cohort-specific protocols.

Genotyping

Each participant has provided a saliva sample to the study from which DNA was extracted using the Oragene method (DNA Genotek, Inc., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Coriell’s in-house Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) certified Genotyping and Microarray Center [Keller et al., 2010; Stack et al., 2011] used the Affymetrix 6.0 GeneChip to genotype 909,622 SNPs. In total, 901,083 SNPs passed our research quality control (QC) filters (no more than 10% missing data for any given marker) and were retained for further analyses. All individual samples with genetic data \(n = 3,948\) had at least 97% complete SNP data and were retained for downstream analyses.

Non-Genetic Data Collection

CPMC participants use a secure web-based portal [Keller et al., 2010; Stack et al., 2011] to provide information related to medical history, family history, lifestyle, and demographics, including the information used in the current study (average amount of sleep per night, gender, age, weight, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol intake, and ethnicity).

The physical activity question includes the following options: none, recent, occasional, want to start, or regularly for at least 6 months. However, anyone who responded “want to start” was recoded as “none” for the current analysis. The amount of physical activity at work question includes the following options: sedentary, standing, physical, heavy, or unemployed. However, anyone who responded as unemployed was re-coded as “sedentary” for the current analysis. Smoking was coded as currently smoking or not currently smoking. Alcohol use was coded as consumed in the past month or not consumed in the past month (Table I).

In addition, since the inception of the project in 2007, the participant questionnaire was updated such that the sleep question changed from “indicate the average number of hours of sleep you get a night” (less than 4 hr, 4–6 hr, 6–8 hr, 8 or more hours) to “During the past 30 days, indicate the average number of hours of sleep you get a night” (less than 4 hr, 4–6 hr, 7–8 hr, more than 8 hr). The entire Air Force cohort \(n = 1262\) and 214 (8%) of the CPMC cohort \(n = 2686\) answered the later version of the question. We considered the defined categories as equivalent for the current study.

Principle Components Analysis

Given that our cohorts include individuals with diverse genetic backgrounds, we used principle components analysis (PCA) to correct for any potential population structure in our statistical
modeling (described further below). We used PLINK [Purcell et al., 2007] to generate a pruned set of relatively independent genome-wide SNPs ($R^2 < 0.2$), and we used a custom R script (available upon request) and the svd function [Team, 2014] to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of normalized genotype data. We visualized principal components (PCs) 1–20, but by PC7, the distribution of individuals appeared to be all noise, so we retained PCs 1–6 for downstream analyses (Fig. S1a–g).

Statistical Modeling
The sleep duration variable is collected as an ordered category that we have imposed over an assumed underlying latent variable (sleep duration) with a continuous distribution. We, therefore, employed ordinal logistic regression with the polr function in the MASS library [Ripley, 2002] in R [Team, 2014] to test for associations between sleep duration and genetic and non-genetic variables. Since over-parameterizing regression models can lead to a better fit simply due to the number of parameters, we conducted a stepwise analysis of all non-genetic factors and calculated akaike information criterion (AIC) for each model using the polr function in the MASS R package [Ripley, 2002]. We retained the model with the lowest AIC value. For the initial analysis of all participants (from all five cohorts, n = 4401), we used the following model:

$$
\text{sleep duration} \sim \text{age} + \text{gender} + \text{weight} + \text{physical activity} + \text{physical activity at work} + \text{smoking status} + \text{alcohol intake} + \text{ethnicity} + \text{education level} + \text{recently began exercising regularly, n(%)}
$$

TABLE I. Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CPMC community</th>
<th>AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>1262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age in years, mean (range)</td>
<td>53 (20-97)</td>
<td>39 (20-70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male, n (%)</td>
<td>1034 (38%)</td>
<td>618 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female, n (%)</td>
<td>1652 (62%)</td>
<td>644 (51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight in lbs, mean (range)</td>
<td>170 (95-356)</td>
<td>169 (100-333)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recently began exercising regularly, n(%)</td>
<td>1137 (42%)</td>
<td>809 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>currently smoking, n(%)</td>
<td>251 (9%)</td>
<td>105 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consumed alcohol in the past month, n(%)</td>
<td>821 (31%)</td>
<td>301 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian American</td>
<td>2484 (92%)</td>
<td>1032 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>70 (3%)</td>
<td>98 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American or Alaska Native</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>85 (3%)</td>
<td>43 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>7 (1%)</td>
<td>7 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed ethnicity</td>
<td>44 (2%)</td>
<td>78 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average number of hours of sleep per night &lt; 4 hours</td>
<td>14 (1%)</td>
<td>8 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average number of hours of sleep per night 4-6 hours</td>
<td>528 (20%)</td>
<td>522 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average number of hours of sleep per night 7-8 hours</td>
<td>1862 (69%)</td>
<td>706 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average number of hours of sleep per night =&gt; 9 hours</td>
<td>282 (10%)</td>
<td>26 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since over-parameterizing regression models can lead to a better fit simply due to the number of parameters, we conducted a stepwise analysis of all non-genetic factors and calculated akaike information criterion (AIC) for each model using the polr function in the MASS R package [Ripley, 2002]. We retained the model with the lowest AIC value. For the initial analysis of all participants (from all five cohorts, n = 4401), we used the following model:

$$
\text{sleep duration} \sim \text{age} + \text{gender} + \text{weight} + \text{physical activity} + \text{physical activity at work} + \text{smoking status} + \text{alcohol intake} + \text{ethnicity} + \text{education level} + \text{recently began exercising regularly, n(%)}
$$

cohort. After identifying participant cohort as a significant variable contributing to sleep duration ($P = 2.6 \times 10^{-56}$), Wilcoxon rank sum test, also see Table II), we designed a two phase genome-wide analysis in which only the CPMC community cohort (n = 2,152) was used in phase I, and only the Air Force cohort (n = 1,262) was used in phase II. This study design, therefore, reduced the risk that we would identify false positives due to differential environmental or lifestyle factors related to either cohort.

Taken together, we included genetic data from 3,414 total participants. For the phase I analysis, we used the following model in the CPMC community cohort for all genome-wide SNPs: sleep hours ~ age + gender + weight + physical activity + physical activity at work + smoking status + alcohol intake + ethnicity + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5 + PC6 + SNP genotype. Given the modest sample size available for the current study, we prioritized retaining individuals and not variants for the genome-wide association testing, and retained 169,252 SNPs with complete genotyping data in phase I. We then tested only the most significant SNPs (n = 173) in the phase II Air Force cohort with the same model. In addition, we went back to the top candidate regions and performed association tests of all of the genetic variants with at least 90% complete data within 500 kb of a given candidate variant in the CPMC cohort. SNP genotypes were coded as 0/1/2, where 0 corresponds to two copies of the reference allele, 1 corresponds to one copy of each allele, and 2 corresponds to two copies of the non-reference allele. Finally, we imputed missing genotypes using Beagle Version 4.0 [Browning and Browning, 2007] with the
following parameters: niterations = 10 and nsamples = 4. We excluded monomorphic SNPs, singleton SNPs, and SNPs with allelic $R^2 < 0.7$. We retained 870,428 SNPs with imputed data for an exploratory genome-wide association analysis in the CPMC community cohort (n = 2,152).

Assigning Statistical Significance

We used ordered logistic regression to maximize statistical power for the genome-wide analysis of the community cohort and used the polr function in R to implement the model. The output of polr includes the eta coefficient, the standard error, and the affiliated t-value (the coefficient divided by the standard error). The eta coefficients are the linear predictors of the explanatory variables, which do not follow a standard t-distribution. Therefore, we were not able to analytically assign $P$-values to our t-values. Given our sample size for the genome-wide analysis of the community cohort (n = 2,152), we instead chose an absolute t-value cutoff of 10. This cutoff roughly corresponds to the 0.1% of the empirical tails of the t-value distribution (estimated from 169,252 SNPs with no missing genotyping data). Assuming a normal distribution of t-values, we estimate that an absolute t-value cutoff of 10 approximately corresponds to a $P$-value of $10^{-6}$. We note, however, that our t-values are asymptotically distributed, and therefore this $P$-value estimate is only provided as a very rough estimate.

Variants meeting the absolute t-value cutoff of 10 (173 SNPs) were then tested in the Air Force cohort. From this set, 37 variants had an absolute t-value of 2 or higher in the Air Force cohort (n = 1,262), which roughly corresponds to the top 1% empirical tail of the absolute t-value distribution. We calculated bootstrap $P$-values with 1,000 bootstraps for each of the 37 variants that met the above criteria in both cohorts.

Pathway Enrichment Analysis

We used the GREAT resource [McLean et al., 2010] to test for molecular functions, GO biological processes, PANTHER and MSigDB, and chose the hypergeometric gene enrichment test. We limited our enrichment testing to categories containing at least two candidate loci for sleep duration.

RESULTS

Non-Genetic Factors

Consistent with previously reported analyses [Krueger and Friedman, 2009], we identified several non-genetic factors that are associated with the average amount of sleep reported by CPMC participants. For example, reported non-smokers get significantly more sleep than reported smokers ($W = 552,877$, $P = 0.0032$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Table SI), and women get significantly less sleep than men ($W = 2,430,874$, $P = 0.0485$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Table SI). We also discovered that cohort membership has a significant association with reported sleep ($X^2 = 261.86$, $P < 2.20 \times 10^{-16}$, Kruskall–Wallis rank sum test; Table SI). Furthermore, this result was driven by two of the cohorts. In particular, individuals recruited into the Air Force cohort report significantly less sleep than individuals recruited into the CPMC community cohort ($W = 1,251,280$, $P = 2.5666 \times 10^{-56}$, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. S2). We additionally modeled the combined set of non-genetic factors using ordered logistic regression (Table II).

Genetic Candidates

Given the striking impact of cohort membership, we designed a two-stage analysis in which we tested a set of genome-wide SNPs in the larger CPMC community cohort (n = 2,152), and tested the subset of most associated variants (absolute(t-value) >10) in the Air Force cohort. The 173 SNPs (Table SII) that met the phase I threshold have t-values that roughly correspond to the most extreme 0.1% of the empirical distribution. Table III displays the subset of 37 variants that met our filter (absolute(t-value) >2) in phase II, which roughly corresponds to the most extreme 1% of the empirical distribution; however, none of the tested genetic variants reached genome-wide significance after correcting for multiple testing. We note that for a subset of these candidate

| TABLE II. Regression Results for Demographic and Lifestyle Variables |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Parameter     | eta coefficient | SE          | t-value     |
| age           | 0.00            | 0.00        | 1.39        |
| gender        | 0.00            | 0.08        | -0.06       |
| weight        | 0.00            | 0.00        | -4.45       |
| exercise      | 0.13            | 0.03        | 5.20        |
| physical activity at work | -0.15 | 0.05 | -2.82 |
| smoking       | -0.40           | 0.16        | -2.56       |
| alcohol       | 0.17            | 0.09        | 1.83        |
| cohort        | 0.99            | 0.10        | 9.60        |
| ethnicity (African American) | -0.95 | 0.19 | -5.07 |
| ethnicity (Native American) | -1.21 | 0.97 | -1.25 |
| ethnicity (Asian American) | -0.44 | 0.21 | -2.11 |
| ethnicity (Hawaiian/Pacific Islander) | -1.16 | 0.91 | -1.27 |
| ethnicity (mixed) | -0.86 | 0.23 | -3.78 |
variants, the direction of association (positive vs. negative eta
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binding cassette transporter pathway was previously found to be enriched in a pathway analysis for sleep duration [Ollila et al., 2014], and ABCC9 has previously been implicated in a meta-analysis of GWAS of sleep duration [Allebrandt et al., 2013]. In particular, an intronic variant (rs11046205) reached genome-wide statistical significance. This variant was unfortunately not present in our dataset. However, we did test rs11046211 (eta = 0.17, SE = 0.14, t-value = 1.18), which is also evaluated by Allebrandt et al. [2013] (beta = 0.20, P = 9.9 × 10^{-6}) and did not find an especially strong association signal. The closest SNP (within 50 kb) with the strongest signal in our analysis is rs704191 (eta = 5.37, t-value = 11.80). One possibility for this discrepancy is that there is more than one signal of association in the same genomic region. Although, perhaps a simpler explanation is that the patterns of linkage disequilibrium between the presumed underlying functional variant and the evaluated SNPs are not consistent across study population samples. Indeed, the allele frequency range across population samples included in Allebrandt et al.’s meta-analysis [Allebrandt et al., 2013] for rs11046211 is 0.042–0.119. In addition, the correlation (as measured with R^2) between rs704191 and rs11046205 in the 1000 Genomes EUR population sample is only 0.10 [Genomes Project et al., 2012].

Several other genic regions identified in the current analysis have been previously implicated but did not originally reach genome-wide significance. Rs7233717 lies 500 kb upstream of FBXO15 (Fig. 1), which was identified in a GWAS of sleep duration but did not reach genome-wide significance in the original analysis [Byrne et al., 2013]. The SNP identified by Byrne et al. [2013], rs2278331 was not associated with sleep duration in our analysis (eta = −0.06, t-value = −0.90). In our targeted analysis of additional variants in the region (Fig. 1), we identified rs17088578 (27 kb away from rs2278331, eta = 2.88, t-value = 15.88), which is located ~200 kb upstream of FBXO15. Again, one possibility for this discrepancy is that there is more than one signal of association in the same genomic region.
genomic region. Although, in this case, rs2278331 was imputed by Byrne et al. [2013], and an alternate explanation is that there is a biological signal in the region that is being ‘tagged’ by different variants in the two datasets due to differences in the way that the alleles were collected.

In addition, we identified rs41348446 (eta = 1.82, t-value = 10.19), located on chromosome 11 approximately 40 kb upstream of ARNTL (Fig. 1). ARNTL is a circadian rhythm gene that has been associated with later sleep and wake times in an elderly cohort [Evans et al., 2013] as well as with seasonal affective disorder [Partonen et al., 2007]. We additionally identified a stronger signal of association with rs931186 (~71 kb upstream of ARNTL, eta = 2.76, t-value = 15.35).

We also identified a region on chromosome 20 that contains four genes with suggestive relationships to sleep (Fig. 1). Rs2256551, the SNP we identified in the GWAS was located in the intronic region of ATPase, class II, type 9A (ATP9A), which is upstream to spalt-like transcription factor 4 (SALL4). SALL4 mutations cause Duane radial ray syndrome (Okihiro syndrome), which is associated with narcolepsy [Butterworth and Shneerson, 2014]. ATP9A is also located 1.2 Mb upstream of teashirt zinc finger homeobox 2 (TSHZ2), a gene that was implicated in sleep duration in a GWAS conducted by Gottlieb et al. [2007] but did not reach genome-wide significance in the original analysis. Finally, ATP9A lies downstream of nuclear factor of activated T-cells, cytoplasmic, calcineurin-dependent 2 (NFATC2), which is associated with narcolepsy [Shimada et al., 2010]. Therefore, it appears that this region may contain multiple loci involved in sleep and sleep-related disorders.

Two more recent studies identified several additional candidate variants associated with sleep duration. Ollila et al., [2014] identified a SNP on chromosome 5 (rs114725) that is less than 2 Mb away from one of our identified candidate SNPs (rs16900727, Table III), and a SNP on chromosome 10 (rs10886445) that is less than 1 Mb away from another one of our identified candidate SNPs (rs7096948, Table III). Gottlieb et al. [2014] identified a region on chromosome 2 (position 113,785-491,113,811,454) that is not in the tails of our empirical distribution (absolute t-values range from 0.40–0.91). We did, however, identify a nearby region (position 115,493,714; rs17043459) that is less than 2 Mb away. However, given the distances between the previously reported signals of association and the current study, it is difficult to determine whether these represent one or more suggestive signals of association.

To increase our genomic coverage, we additionally performed an exploratory association analysis with an expanded set of 870,428 SNPs that included imputed genotypes for individuals with missing data. The top 0.1% of the empirical distribution of absolute t-values are included in Table SVI; however, we were not able to replicate any previously reported genetic candidate variants for sleep duration with the expanded association analysis.

**Novel Candidate Genes**

We have identified two novel genes that have been implicated in sleep in other mammals. Rs17122013 is located in the intronic region of Sortilin-related VPS10 domain containing receptor 1 (SORC51) (Fig. 1) which is deleted in an inbred short sleep mouse strain [Dumas et al., 2014]. Rs41463746 is located in the 3’ UTR of ELOVL fatty acid elongase 2 (ELOVL2) (Fig. 1), which is up-regulated in liver tissue from hibernating winter bears [Fedorov et al., 2009]. It is worth noting that the direction of the effect of rs41463746 on sleep is not consistent across the CPMC and Air Force cohorts (eta = 3.21 and –1.86, respectively). However, as displayed in Figure 1, there are two additional SNPs (rs6919269, eta = −5.37, t-value = −11.67; rs4713206, eta = −5.35, t-value = −11.66) that are consistent across both cohorts.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we leverage the data collected from the CPMC research study to investigate putative candidate genes involved in sleep duration. We have taken an approach that is different and complimentary to previously reported genome-wide association studies of sleep. In particular, we have incorporated an expanded set of lifestyle and demographic predictor variables in our statistical modeling.

While we have not replicated any previously identified candidate variants for sleep duration, our results provide independent support for previously identified candidate genes that have (ABCC9) and have not yet (FBOX15, TSHZ2) reached genome-wide significance in previously reported GWAS of sleep duration [Allebrandt et al., 2013; Byrne et al., 2013]. In addition, Allebrandt et al. [2013] conducted a functional experiment in Drosophila melanogeter of ABCC9 and demonstrated that flies with non-functional transcripts were sleepless for 3 hr on average more per night compared to wild-type flies. Thus, the self-reported data collected through the CPMC related to sleep duration as well as the lifestyle and demographic variables included in our statistical model appear to be robust, and our analytical approach appears to have identified biologically meaningful associations with sleep duration.

We also find it intriguing that one of the regions identified in the current analysis on chromosome 20 contains multiple genes with putative biological relationships to sleep and sleep disorders. TSHZ2 was identified as a suggestive candidate gene for sleep duration [Gottlieb et al., 2007], and SALL4 and NFATC2 are associated with narcolepsy [Shimada et al., 2010; Butterworth and Shneerson, 2014]. This phenomenon is not without precedent in that previous work has identified physical clusters of genes with related biological functions (e.g., [Scheinfeldt et al., 2011]). While ATP9A itself has not been previously identified as a candidate gene for involvement in sleep duration, work in rats shows that expression levels of ATP9A in liver change as a function of time of day, and ATP9A is involved in ion transport. Some have speculated that ATP9A and other similar genes may be involved in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics [Nainwal et al., 2011], which raises the possibility that ATP9A may mediate the effects of sleep medications.

Moreover, we found compelling evidence in the non-human literature for a relationship between two of our strongest candidate genes and sleep. Work in lab strains of inbred short and long sleep mice demonstrates that SORCS1 is deleted in the short sleep strain relative to the long sleep strain suggesting that the absence of this gene may contribute to shorter sleep duration [Dumas et al., 2014]. Perhaps even more intriguing is the finding that ELOVL2 is up-regulated in the livers of hibernating bears [Fedorov et al., 2009].
humans, ELOVL2 is associated with aging [Garagnani et al., 2012], and omega-3 fatty acid levels were associated with sleep in an epidemiological study of children in the United Kingdom [Montgomery et al., 2014]. These results suggest that ELOVL2 may be important not just during hibernation, but for routine daily sleep in humans as well.

Taken together, six of out 37 candidate gene regions have been previously implicated in human and/or mammalian research. As a set, however, there is no significant enrichment of any one biological pathway, function or process. This negative result is consistent with the inherited component of sleep involving more than one biological mechanism, and more work needs to be done to identify and test what we assume are underlying functional variants contributing to sleep.

There are several limitations to the current study, the most important being that our sample size was too modest to identify any genome-wide significant candidate genes. Indeed, the direction of association is not always consistent between the CPMC and Air Force cohorts, potentially due to the limited sample sizes included in each analysis. Furthermore, we were limited by the included variants in the genome-wide array, especially in that the vast majority of them are not functional variants, and instead act as proxies for what we assume are underlying functional variants. Therefore, resequencing studies will be necessary to identify any putative functional variants contributing to sleep variation. Moreover, there are regions of the genome that are not well covered with the variants included in the current study. In addition, there are lifestyle and demographic variables of interest that we either do not currently collect through the CPMC (e.g., do you live with young children?) or cannot incorporate into the model due to incomplete data (e.g., caffeine and stimulant intake, sleep medication usage, and co-morbidities, which are missing for the vast majority of study participants). Error may also be introduced when participants do not correctly report their average sleep duration. Finally, we used a categorical self-reported measure of sleep duration, and it will be useful to collect continuous measures of sleep duration in the future, which may be more powerful data for identifying signals of association.

In summary, the CPMC research study uses both genetic and non-genetic information to customize individual risk reports for complex diseases, and here we have leveraged those data to better understand the role of common genetic variation in sleep duration. Not only have we identified several candidate genes previously implicated in sleep duration in human studies, but we have also identified several novel putative candidate genes involved in human sleep duration. Our approach demonstrates that using the CPMC participant self-reported data in combination with genome-wide genetic data is robust. Future work that explores functional variants related to sleep duration will contribute to our ability to identify individuals at increased risk of sleep problems and associated health disorders and tailor recommended lifestyle changes and/or medications to improve sleep quantity and overall general health.
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